

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. CR 4-1/-70271
Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACTOR
VISHAL DASA	NORTHERN DISTRICT
Defendant.	OAKLAND CALIFICATIVIA
Speedy Trial Act from April 21, 2011 to the continuance outweigh the best interest of	ord on Apple 2/, 2011, the Court excludes time under the to 5/9/1/, 2011 and finds that the ends of justice served of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance w See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i	would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. i).
defendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreasonable to	mplex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial blished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance w taking into account the exercise	would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance we counsel's other scheduled case of See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(1)	would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. iv).
necessary for effective preparati	would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time ion, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. iv). hearing. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5. 1(1).
X Waiver of time limitation for file IT IS SO ORDERED.	iv). hearing. Sec Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1(1). (9501 care) ing Industriend. See 18 U.S. C. 53161(6).
DATED: <u>4/21/11</u>	LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendar	Jan Miles

District Court Criminal Case Processing